

## **List of Key References in Systematic Reviews**

**Provided by: Jennifer Croswell M.D., M.P.H.**

**Sizing Up Systematic Reviews: Not All Syntheses Are Created Equal**

**Mind the Gap Webinar**

**June 7, 2018**

- Gotzsche P, Ioannidis J. Content area experts as authors: helpful or harmful for systematic reviews and meta-analyses? *BMJ*. 2012; 345: 37031 doi: 10.1136/bmj.e7031.
- Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2008; 336: 924.
- Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gotzsche P, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011; 343: d5928.
- Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, version 5.1.0. 2011 Mar. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available: <http://handbook.cochrane.org/>.
- Institute of Medicine of the National Academies. *Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews*. Jill Eden, Laura Levit, Alfred Berg, et al, editors. National Academies Press. Washington, DC: 2011.
- Ioannidis JPA. The mass production of redundant, misleading, and conflicted systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Milbank Quarterly*. 2016; 94(3): 485-514.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLOS Medicine*. 2009; 6(7): e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>.
- Page MJ, Shamseer L, Altman DG, et al. Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic reviews of biomedical research: A cross-sectional study. *PLOS Med*. 2016; 13(5): e1002028. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002028.
- Yuan Y, Hunt R. Systematic reviews: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Am J Gastroenterology*. 2009; 104: 1086-92.